Kolinski, R. A. & Riddel, F. G. (1981). Tetrahedron Lett. 22, 2217-2220.

- Möller, R., von Philipsborn, W., Schleifer, L., Aped, P. & Fuchs, B. (1991). Tetrahedron, 47, 1013–1036.
- Okawara, T., Takaishi, H., Okamota, Y., Yamasaki, T. & Furukawa, M. (1995). *Heterocycles*, **41**, 1023-1033.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (1996). SADABS. Program for Empirical Absorption Corrections. University of Göttingen, Germany.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (1997a). SHELXS97. Program for the Solution of Crystal Structures. University of Göttingen, Germany.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (1997b). SHELXL97. Program for the Refinement of Crystal Structures. University of Göttingen, Germany.
- Siemens (1994a). SMART Software Reference Manual. Siemens Analytical X-ray Instruments Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA.
- Siemens (1994b). SHELXTL. Release 5.03. Siemens Analytical X-ray Instruments Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA.
- Siemens (1995). SAINT Software Reference Manual. Version 4. Siemens Analytical X-ray Instruments Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA.
- Tan, G. O., Hodgson, K. O., Hedman, B., Clark, G. R., Garrity, M. L. & Sorrell, T. N. (1990). Acta Cryst. C46, 1773–1775.
- Weisman, G. R., Ho, S. C. H. & Johnson, V. (1980). Tetrahedron Lett. 21, 335–338.

Acta Cryst. (1999). C55, 1404-1406

The square-pyramidal Pd^{II} complex of a cross-bridged tetraazamacrocycle

TIMOTHY J. HUBIN,^{*a*} NATHANIEL W. ALCOCK^{*b*} AND DARYLE H. BUSCH^{*a*}

^aDepartment of Chemistry, University of Kansas, Lawrence, KS 66045, USA, and ^bDepartment of Chemistry, University of Warwick, Coventry CV4 7AL, England. E-mail: msrbb@csv.warwick.ac.uk

(Received 5 March 1999; accepted 7 June 1999)

Abstract

The preparation and crystal structure of chloro(4,11-dimethyl-1,4,8,11-tetraazabicyclo[6.6.2]hexadecane- $\kappa^4 N$)palladium(II) chloride dihydrate, [PdCl(C₁₄H₃₀N₄)]Cl-2H₂O, are described. The Pd^{II} ion is coordinated to all four N atoms of the folded macrobicycle and to a single chloride ligand in a square-pyramidal geometry. The bond to the axial N atom is considerably longer than the others [Pd—N_{ax} 2.461 (3) and average Pd—N_{eq} 2.097 (10) Å]. The Pd—Cl distance is 2.3407 (10) Å.

Comment

The coordination geometries of complexes of Pd^{II} , as of other transition metals, result from a delicate interplay between ligand-field favorability for the metal ion and the steric and topological constraints of the ligand(s) (Huheey *et al.*, 1993; Busch, 1993). As a d^8 ion, Pd^{II} is overwhelmingly found in four-coordinate square-

© 1999 International Union of Crystallography Printed in Great Britain – all rights reserved planar complexes, to maximize occupation of bonding orbitals (Cotton & Wilkinson, 1988). Geometries other than square planar for Pd^{II} are observed only when the steric or topological demands of the ligand outweigh the loss in stabilization due to the geometric distortion. The title compound, (1), exhibits such a distorted geometry, in this case a five-coordinate square-pyramidal one, because the short ethylene cross-bridge of the macrocyclic ligand 4,11-dimethyl-1,4,8,11-tetraazabicyclo[6.6.2]hexadecane, (2), does not allow the macrocycle to occupy the four sites of a square plane. The topological constraint of the two-carbon bridge enforces a fold in the ligand that is conserved on binding to Pd^{II}.



The only non-adjacent nitrogen pair capable of spanning the diagonal of the base of the square pyramid, N4 and N11, do so with an N4---Pd---N11 angle of 171.65 (11)°. The single chloride ligand completes the coordination sphere of Pd^{I1} and allows the complex to retain part of the advantage of a square-based geometry.

The chemically equivalent N atoms, N1 and N8, occupy inequivalent sites on the square pyramid; N1 is on the base, while N8 is at the apex. This inequality is evident in the Pd—N distances; Pd—N1 is 2.112 (3), while Pd—N8 is 2.461 (3) Å. The long Pd—N8 bond demonstrates that ligand topology dictates the coordination geometry in this complex rather than it being determined by the electronic configuration of the Pd^{II} ion, as in less topologically constrained ligand complexes. The result of the mismatch between ligand constraints



Fig. 1. View of the title molecule showing the atomic numbering. Displacement ellipsoids are drawn at the 50% probability level.

and metal-ion ligand-field effects is a rather unsymmetrical complex where only a chloride and an 'empty' site (of an octahedron) occupy one hemisphere of the palladium ion, while the entire bicyclic ligand is in the other hemisphere.

Experimental

Pd(MeCN)₂Cl₂ (0.259 g, 0.001 mol) and 4, 11-dimethyl-1,4,8,11-tetraazabicyclo[6.6.2]hexadecane (0.254 g, 0.001 mol), obtained by literature methods (Weisman et al., 1990), were stirred together in MeCN (20 ml) under nitrogen for 12 h. Removal of a black solid by filtration gave a green solution into which ether was allowed to diffuse. Dark blue X-ray quality crystals of (1) resulted in 55% yield.

Mo $K\alpha$ radiation

Cell parameters from 5706

 $0.40 \times 0.20 \times 0.15$ mm

 $= 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.0420P)^2]$

Crystallography (Vol. C)

 $\lambda = 0.71073 \text{ Å}$

reflections $\theta = 3 - 20^{\circ}$

 $\mu = 1.248 \text{ mm}^{-1}$

T = 188(2) K

Block

Dark blue

Crystal data

 $[PdCl(C_{14}H_{30}N_4)]Cl \cdot 2H_2O$ $M_r = 467.75$ Monoclinic C2/c*a* = 29.7207 (13) Å b = 7.7934(3) Å c = 17.2154(7) Å $\beta = 102.3920 (10)^{\circ}$ $V = 3894.6(3) \text{ Å}^3$ Z = 8 $D_x = 1.593 \text{ Mg m}^{-3}$ D_m not measured

Data collection

Siemens SMART diffrac-	11 454 measured reflections
tometer with Cryostream	4583 independent reflections
cooler (Cosier & Glazer,	3177 reflections with
1986)	$I > 2\sigma(I)$
ω scans	$R_{\rm int} = 0.044$
Absorption correction:	$\theta_{\rm max} = 28.58^{\circ}$
multi-scan (SADABS;	$h = -36 \rightarrow 38$
Sheldrick, 1996)	$k = -10 \rightarrow 10$
$T_{\rm min} = 0.67, T_{\rm max} = 0.81$	$l = -17 \rightarrow 22$

Refinement

Refinement on F^2	$w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.0420P)]$
$R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.038$	where $P = (F_o^2 + 2F_c^2)/2$
$wR(F^2) = 0.082$	$(\Delta/\sigma)_{\rm max} = 0.027$
S = 1.058	$\Delta \rho_{\rm max} = 0.902 \ {\rm e} \ {\rm \AA}^{-3}$
4583 reflections	$\Delta \rho_{\rm min}$ = -1.159 e Å ⁻³
209 parameters	Extinction correction: none
H-atom parameters	Scattering factors from
constrained	International Tables for

Table 1. Fractional atomic coordinates and equivalent isotropic displacement parameters $(Å^2)$

$$U_{\rm eq} = (1/3) \sum_i \sum_j U^{ij} a^i a^j \mathbf{a}_i \cdot \mathbf{a}_j.$$

	x	у	Z	U_{eq}
Pd1	0.149785 (9)	0.76690(3)	0.074625 (15)	0.02182 (9)
CII	0.22391 (4)	0.88515 (13)	0.10093 (6)	0.0419 (3)
Cl2	0.07181 (4)	0.17421 (12)	0.19694 (6)	0.0401 (3)

03	0	0.9380(4)	1/4	0.0363 (9)
O4	0	0.4105 (5)	1/4	0.0530(12)
O5	0.04677 (10)	0.6742 (4)	0.34822 (16)	0.0507 (8)
N1	0.08064 (10)	0.6920(4)	0.06635 (17)	0.0253 (7)
C2	0.07598 (14)	0.7027 (5)	0.1516(2)	0.0344 (9)
C3	0.11567 (13)	0.6118 (5)	0.2039 (2)	0.0326 (9)
N4	0.15940 (11)	0.6903 (4)	0.19263 (17)	0.0265 (7)
C5	0.19811 (14)	0.5646 (5)	0.2152 (2)	0.0371 (10)
C6	0.19290 (14)	0.3896 (5)	0.1748 (2)	0.0361 (10)
C7	0.19395 (14)	0.3808 (5)	0.0871 (2)	0.0364 (10)
N8	0.15439 (10)	0.4652 (4)	0.03486(17)	0.0258(7)
C9	0.16265 (15)	0.4978 (5)	-0.0449 (2)	0.0376(10)
C10	0.13058(15)	0.6347 (5)	-0.0890(2)	0.0361 (10)
N11	0.13749 (11)	0.8070(4)	-0.04880 (17)	0.0288(7)
C12	0.09649 (15)	0.9184 (5)	-0.0771 (2)	0.0417(11)
C13	0.05087 (16)	0.8498 (6)	-0.0637 (2)	0.0468(12)
C14	0.04746 (15)	0.8209(5)	0.0222 (2)	0.0406(10)
C15	0.06985 (13)	0.5147 (4)	0.0338 (2)	0.0316 (9)
C16	0.10970 (13)	0.3886 (4)	0.0361 (2)	0.0296 (9)
C17	0.17008 (15)	0.8418 (5)	0.2463 (2)	0.0373 (10)
C18	0.17671 (16)	0.8951 (5)	-0.0750(3)	0.0486(12)

Table 2. Selected geometric parameters (Å, °)

Pd1—N4 Pd1—N11 Pd1—N1	2.078 (3) 2.101 (3) 2.112 (3)	Pd1Cl1 Pd1N8	2.3407 (10) 2.461 (3)
N4—Pd1—N11	171.65 (11)	N1-Pd1-Cl1	169.68 (8)
N4—Pd1—N1	85.07 (11)	N4—Pd1—N8	89.61 (10)
N11—Pd1—N1	91.00 (12)	N11-Pd1-N8	82.45 (10)
N4—Pd1—Cl1	89.87 (9)	N1-Pd1-N8	80.12 (10)
NII—PdI—CII	95.12 (9)	C11—Pd1—N8	108.89 (7)

Three lattice water molecules were located, two on special positions; their H atoms were not included. They presumably derive from incompletely dried solvents. Other H atoms were added at calculated positions and refined using a riding model (including free rotation about C-C bonds for methyl groups). H atoms were given isotropic displacement parameters equal to 1.2 (or 1.5 for methyl-H atoms) times U_{eq} of the carrier atom.

Data collection: SMART (Siemens, 1995). Cell refinement: SAINT (Siemens, 1995). Data reduction: SAINT. Program(s) used to solve structure: SHELXTL (Sheldrick, 1997). Program(s) used to refine structure: SHELXTL. Molecular graphics: SHELXTL. Software used to prepare material for publication: SHELXTL.

We thank Procter & Gamble for their generous support of this research. TJH thanks the Madison A. and Lila Self Graduate Fellowship of the University of Kansas for financial support. EPSRC and Siemens plc generously supported the purchase of the SMART diffractometer. The Warwick-Kansas collaboration has been supported by NATO.

Supplementary data for this paper are available from the IUCr electronic archives (Reference: CF1310). Services for accessing these data are described at the back of the journal.

References

Busch, D. H. (1993). Chem. Rev. 93, 847-860.

- Cosier, J. & Glazer, A. M. (1986). J. Appl. Cryst. 19, 105-107.
- Cotton, F. A. & Wilkinson, G. (1988). Advanced Inorganic Chemistry, 5th ed. New York: Wiley.
- Huheey, J. E., Keiter, E. A. & Keiter, R. L. (1993). Inorganic Chemistry: Principles of Structure and Reactivity, 4th ed. New York: HarperCollins.

- Sheldrick, G. M. (1996). SADABS. Program for Empirical Absorption Correction of Area Detector Data. University of Göttingen, Germany.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (1997). SHELXTL. Version 5.1. Bruker AXS Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA.
- Siemens (1995). SMART and SAINT. Area Detector Control and Integration Software. Siemens Analytical X-ray Instruments Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA.
- Weisman, G. R., Rogers, M. E., Wong, E. H., Jasinski, J. P. & Paight, E. S. (1990). J. Am. Chem. Soc. 112, 8604–8605.

Acta Cryst. (1999). C55, 1406-1408

cis-Bis(cyanomethyl)bis(triphenylphosphine)platinum(II)-dichloromethane (1/0.6)

WILLIAM HENDERSON AND ALLEN G. OLIVER

Chemistry Department, University of Waikato, Private Bag 3105, Hamilton, New Zealand. E-mail: w.henderson@waikato.ac.nz

(Received 27 January 1999; accepted 3 June 1999)

Abstract

The cyanomethyl ligands of the title complex, *cis*-[Pt(CH₂CN)₂(PPh₃)₂] \cdot 0.6CH₂Cl₂, adopt a *syn* geometry. The Pt—C bond lengths have an average value of 2.121 (17) Å, and the C—Pt—C bond angle is 85.3 (3)°.

Comment

Silver(I) oxide promotes the synthesis of a wide range of novel metallacycles (Henderson *et al.*, 1994; Dinger & Henderson, 1998). While attempting to synthesize metallalactone complexes using cyanoacetic acid and *cis*-[PtCl₂(PPh₃)₂], we observed instead a novel decarboxylation reaction giving the title compound, (I).



The structure of only one other bis(cyanomethyl) complex, $[Ir(CH_2CN)_2(CO)_2][(Ph_3P)_2N]$, has been reported (Porta *et al.*, 1990). Reactions of platinum(II) hydroxo complexes with CH₃CN, some in the presence of silver(I) oxide, gave *cis*-[Pt(CH₂CN)(*X*)*L*₂] complexes [*X* = cyclohexene, OH, Cl or CH₃, and *L*₂ = Ph₂PCH₂CH₂CH₂PPh₂ (dppe); Arnold & Bennett, 1980;

© 1999 International Union of Crystallography Printed in Great Britain – all rights reserved Cairns *et al.*, 1977]. The facile synthesis of the complex [Pd(CH₂CN)₂(PPh₃)₂] from [PdCl₂(PPh₃)₂] and LiCH₂CN provides access to a range of aggregation and degradation products, including a ligand-free [Pd(CH₂CN)₂] complex (Pracejus *et al.*, 1976). One anomalous result was observed in a study into the formation of platinum dicarboxylate complexes. The reaction of [Pt(CO₃)(PPh₃)₂] with PhC=CCO₂H was found to produce the bis(phenylalkynyl) complex *cis*-[Pt(C=CPh)₂(PPh₃)₂] by loss of CO₂ from both the carbonate and carboxylate groups (Burrows *et al.*, 1997).

The platinum centre of (I) shows the expected fourcoordinate square-planar environment, with the phosphine ligands in a cis arrangement. The P1-Pt-P2 bond angle is slightly more obtuse $[98.09(6)^{\circ}]$ than perfect square-planar coordination, while the C2-Pt-C4 and C4-Pt-P2 bond angles have closed up $[85.3(3) \text{ and } 85.6(2)^\circ, \text{ respectively}].$ The remaining bond angle (C2-Pt-P1) is near ideal at $90.9(2)^{\circ}$. Steric considerations clearly give rise to these distortions from regular geometry. The Pt-C bond lengths [2.104 (7) and 2.138 (7) Å for Pt-C2 and Pt-C4, respectively] are comparable with, if fractionally longer than, those in the related $[Pt(CH_2Cl)_2L_2]$ complexes $[L_2 = bis(diphenylphosphino)methane (dppm)$ or (2S, 4S)-Ph₂CHMeCH₂CHMePPh₂ (S, S-skewphos)] [2.069 (8)–2.109 (10) Å; Alcock et al., 1990; Bergamini et al., 1993].

The interesting feature of complex (I) is the orientation of the cyanomethyl ligands. The ligands have



Fig. 1. The molecular structure of complex (1) showing 50% probability displacement ellipsoids. H atoms have been omitted for clarity.

Acta Crystallographica Section C ISSN 0108-2701 © 1999